SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM)

| I. (a) PLAINTIFFS | NSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.) | DEFENDANTS | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| RUTH DAVIS | | | NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC. | | |
| KOTH DAVIS | | NCO FINANCI | AL SYSTEMS, INC. | | |
| (b) County of Residence | e of First Listed Plaintiff | County of Residence | of First Listed Defendant | MARKET THE PARTY OF THE PARTY O | |
| (c) Attorney's (Firm Na | ame, Address, Telephone Number and Email Ad | dress) NOTE: IN LAN | ID CONDEMNATION CASES, 11 | SE THE LOCATION OF THE | |
| Craig Thor Kimmel, E Kimmel & Silverman, | | | NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (IEK nown) | | |
| 30 E. Butler Pike | | /ttorneys (if known) | | | |
| Ambler, PA 19002 (215) 540-8888 | | 5 | | | |
| II. BASIS OF JURISI | DICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) | III. CITIZENSHIP OF I | PRINCIPAL PARTIES | (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff | |
| ☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff | ■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party) | | TF DEF J 1 | | |
| ☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant | ☐ 4 Diversity | Citizen of Another State | of Business In Thi 1 2 | Principal Place 🗇 5 🗇 5 | |
| 2 | (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) | Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country | J 3 | | |
| | T (Place an "X" in One Box Only) | | | | |
| CONTRACT 110 Insurance | TORTS PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJUR | FORFEITURE/PENALTY | BANKRUPTCY | OTHER STATUTES | |
| ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument | ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 365 Personal Injury ☐ 365 Personal Injury ☐ 365 Personal Injury | - ☐ 620 Other Food & Drug ce ☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure | ☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 | 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking | |
| ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment | ☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Product Liability | y 🗇 630 Liquor Laws | PROPERTY RIGHTS | ☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation | |
| & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act | Slander | al G40 R.R. & Truck G50 Airline Regs. | ☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent | 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations | |
| ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans | Liability Liability 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPER | ☐ 660 Occupational | ☐ 840 Trademark | ■ 480 Consumer Credit | |
| (Excl. Veterans) | ☐ 345 Marine Product ☐ 370 Other Fraud | ☐ 690 Other | | 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service | |
| ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits | Liability 371 Truth in Lending 350 Motor Vehicle 380 Other Personal | LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards | SOCIAL SECURITY B61 HIA (1395ff) | ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange | |
| ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract | 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damage Product Liability 385 Property Damage | | ☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) | ☐ 875 Customer Challenge | |
| ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability | ☐ 360 Other Personal Product Liability | ☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting | ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI | 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions | |
| ☐ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY | Injury CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIO | & Disclosure Act NS 740 Railway Labor Act | B65 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS | □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act | |
| ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure | ☐ 441 Voting ☐ 510 Motions to Vacai ☐ 442 Employment Sentence | | ☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff | ☐ 893 Environmental Matters | |
| 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment | ☐ 443 Housing/ Habeas Corpus: | 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act | or Defendant) ☐ 871 IRS—Third Party | 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information | |
| 240 Torts to Land245 Tort Product Liability | Accommodations | IMMIGRATION | 26 USC 7609 | Act 900Appeal of Fee Determination | |
| 290 All Other Real Property | 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - 540 Mandamus & Otl | her | | Under Equal Access | |
| | Employment | 463 Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee | | to Justice 950 Constitutionality of | |
| | Other 440 Other Civil Rights | ☐ 465 Other Immigration Actions | | State Statutes | |
| | nn "X" in One Box Only) | T | farrad from | Appeal to District | |
| | ate Court Appellate Court | Reopened another (specific | | ict 🗖 7 Judge from Magistrate Judgment | |
| VI. CAUSE OF ACTION | ON Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you a Brief description of cause: Hair Debt Collection Practices Ad | | simulos uncos urversity). | <u> </u> | |
| VII. REQUESTED IN | ☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION | | CHECK YES only | if demanded in complaint: | |
| COMPLAINT: | UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 | / | JURY DEMAND: | Ø Yes □ No | |
| VIII. RELATED CAS | E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE | | DOCKET NUMBER | | |
| Explanation: | | | management and a second a second and a second a second and a second a second and a second and a second and a | MANAGE | |
| | <u></u> | | | | |
| 1-23-1 | SIGNATURE O | ATTORNEY OF RECORD | | | |
| 11 00 1 | · · | | | | |

Case 2:11-cv-07207712D SPATES TRICACO (23/11 Page 2 of 9

| FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to b assignment to appropriate calendar. | e used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of |
|---|---|
| Address of Plaintiff: 4435 Vista Street Philadelph | ia, PA 19136 |
| Address of Defendant: 507 Productial Road, Horsham | , |
| Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: | |
| (Use Reverse Side For A | dditional Space) |
| Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation as | nd any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock? |
| (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)) | Yes No No |
| Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? | Yes□ No□ |
| RELATED CASE, IF ANY: | |
| Case Number: Judge | Date Terminated: |
| Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions: | |
| 1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one ye | |
| | Yes No I |
| Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior st action in this court? | uit pending or within one year previously terminated |
| | Yes No 🗹 |
| 3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier n | |
| terminated action in this court? | Yes No P |
| 4. To this case a consider an accessive helpon common acciel accounts access a common acciel aid to | Clad buds in dividuals |
| 4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights | |
| | Yes No No |
| CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) | |
| A. Federal Question Cases: 1. □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts | B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: 1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| 2. □ FELA | 2. ☐ Airplane Personal Injury |
| 3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury | 3. □ Assault, Defamation |
| 4. □ Antitrust | 4. □ Marine Personal Injury |
| 5. □ Patent | 5. □ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury |
| 6. □ Labor-Management Relations | 6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please |
| | specify) |
| 7. □ Civil Rights | 7. □ Products Liability |
| 8. □ Habeas Corpus | 8. □ Products Liability — Asbestos |
| 9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases | 9. □ All other Diversity Cases |
| 10. □ Social Security Review Cases | (Please specify) |
| 11. All other Federal Question Cases 15 U.S. C. \$ 1692 | (i. iouse specify) |
| (Please specify) | |
| ARBITRATION CERTI | |
| I, Craig Thor Kimmel , counsel of record def hereby certify | tegory) |
| ☐ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and become a section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and become a section 3(c)(2). | belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of |
| \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; | |
| □ Relief other than monetary damages is sought. | |
| DATE: 11-23-11 | 57100 |
| Attorney-at-Law / | Attorney I.D.# |
| NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if ther | e has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38. |
| Leartify that to my knowledge the within ease is not related to any ease now you die any | within one year proviously terminated action in this count |
| I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or vexcept as noted above. | vicinii one year previousty terminated action in this court |
| 11-23-11 | 57100 |
| DATE: Attorney-draw | Attorney I.D.# |
| CIV. 609 (6/08) | rmoney non |

Case 2:11-cv-07307-JS Document 1 Filed 11/23/11 Page 3 of 9

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Ruth Davis

CIVIL ACTION

| v. | : | | | | |
|---|--|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| NCO Financial Sys | stems. Inc. | NO. | | | |
| plaintiff shall complete a Cas filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the ex designation, that defendant sh | e Management Track Designation of a copy on all defendants. (Sevent that a defendant does hall, with its first appearancies, a Case Management Track Designation of the comparance of the comparent of the compare | Reduction Plan of this court, counsination Form in all civil cases at the times at 1:03 of the plan set forth on the renot agree with the plaintiff regarding, submit to the clerk of court and sereck Designation Form specifying the gned. | ime of everse g said rve on | | |
| SELECT ONE OF THE FO | LLOWING CASE MANA | AGEMENT TRACKS: | | | |
| (a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255. | | | | | |
| (b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits. | | | | | |
| (c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. | | | | | |
| (d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos. | | | | | |
| | | ial or intense management by | () | | |
| (f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks. | | | | | |
| 11-23-11 Date 215-540-8888 | Craig Thor Kimmel Attorney-at-law 877-788-2864 | Ruth Davis Attorney for Kimmel@credition | | | |
| Telephone | FAX Number | E-Mail Address | | | |
| | | | | | |

(Civ. 660) 10/02

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA 3 RUTH DAVIS, 4 Plaintiff 5 6 v. Case No.: 7 NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR **JURY TRIAL** 8 Defendant (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices) 9 10 **COMPLAINT** 11 RUTH DAVIS ("Plaintiff"), by and through her attorneys, KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, 12 P.C., alleges the following against NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC. ("Defendant"): 13 INTRODUCTION 14 1. Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act. 15 15 U.S.C. §1692 et seq. ("FDCPA"). 16 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 17 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states 18 that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court 19 20 without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original 21 jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States. 22 3. Defendant has its corporate headquarters in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania 23 and as such, personal jurisdiction is established. 24 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1). 25

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- 6. Plaintiff is a person granted a cause of action under the FDCPA. See 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a) and Wenrich v. Cole, 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 18687 (E.D. Pa. Dec. 22, 2000).
- 7. Defendant is a national debt collection company with its corporate headquarters located at 507 Prudential Road, Horsham, PA 19044.
- 8. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect a debt.
- 9. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 10. Beginning in March 2011, and continuing through November 2011, Defendant and others it retained placed multiple collection calls to Plaintiff seeking and demanding payment for an alleged consumer debt of another person.
- 11. Upon information and belief, the debt arose out of transactions that were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 12. Each time Defendant called Plaintiff, Defendant disclosed to Plaintiff that it was attempting to collect a debt owed by a "Crystal Davis."
- 13. On multiple occasions, Plaintiff informed Defendant that she was not Crystal Davis, that she did not know a Crystal Davis, and that the phone number did not belong to Crystal Davis.
- 14. Additionally, during every call, Plaintiff instructed Defendant to stop calling her about the debt of another person.

1

11

14 15

16

17

18 19

20 21

23

22 24 25

- 15. On multiple occasions, Defendant acknowledged that it was calling the wrong person, and told Plaintiff that it would remove her number from its computer system.
 - 16. However, Defendant failed to remove Plaintiff's number from its system.
- 17. Further, despite Plaintiff's unambiguous request to stop calling her, Defendant continued to contact Plaintiff in its attempts to collect the debt of another person.
- 18. It was inconvenient for Plaintiff to receive repeated debt collection calls for another person on her home telephone.
- 19. Upon information and belief, Defendant failed to investigate or verify contact information prior to and after calling Plaintiff.
 - 20. Defendant failed to update its records to avoid further harassment of Plaintiff.
- 21. The repetitive calls to Plaintiff were disturbing, harassing, and an invasion of privacy.

CONSTRUCTION OF LAW

- 22. The FDCPA is a strict liability statute. Taylor v. Perrin, Landry, deLaunay & Durand, 103 F.3d 1232 (5th Cir. 1997). "Because the Act imposes strict liability, a consumer need not show intentional conduct by the debt collector to be entitled to damages." Russell v. Equifax A.R.S., 74 F. 3d 30 (2d Cir. 1996); see also Gearing v. Check Brokerage Corp., 233 F.3d 469 (7th Cir. 2000) (holding unintentional misrepresentation of debt collector's legal status violated FDCPA); Clomon v. Jackson, 988 F. 2d 1314 (2d Cir. 1993).
- 23. The FDCPA is a remedial statute, and therefore must be construed liberally in favor of the debtor. Sprinkle v. SB&C Ltd., 472 F. Supp. 2d 1235 (W.D. Wash. 2006). The remedial nature of the FDCPA requires that courts interpret it liberally. Clark v. Capital Credit & Collection Services, Inc., 460 F. 3d 1162 (9th Cir. 2006). "Because the FDCPA, like the

Truth in Lending Act (TILA) 15 U.S.C §1601 et seq., is a remedial statute, it should be construed liberally in favor of the consumer." Johnson v. Riddle, 305 F. 3d 1107 (10th Cir. 2002).

24. The FDCPA is to be interpreted in accordance with the "least sophisticated" consumer standard. See Jeter v. Credit Bureau, Inc., 760 F.2d 1168 (11th Cir. 1985); Graziano v. Harrison, 950 F. 2d 107 (3rd Cir. 1991); Swanson v. Southern Oregon Credit Service, Inc., 869 F.2d 1222 (9th Cir. 1988). The FDCPA was not "made for the protection of experts, but for the public - that vast multitude which includes the ignorant, the unthinking, and the credulous, and the fact that a false statement may be obviously false to those who are trained and experienced does not change its character, nor take away its power to deceive others less experienced." Id. The least sophisticated consumer standard serves a dual purpose in that it ensures protection of all consumers, even naive and trusting, against deceptive collection practices, and protects collectors against liability for bizarre or idiosyncratic interpretations of collection notices. Clomon, 988 F. 2d at 1318.

COUNT I DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 25. In its actions to collect a debt, Defendant violated the FDCPA in one or more of the following ways:
 - a. Defendant violated the FDCPA generally;
 - b. Defendant violated §1692b(2) of the FDCPA when it stated to Plaintiff that it was attempting to collect a debt owed by another person;
 - c. Defendant violated §1692b(3) of the FDCPA when it communicated with Plaintiff more than once;

- d. Defendant violated §1692c(a)(1) of the FDCPA when it communicated with Plaintiff at a time or place that it knew or should have known was inconvenient;
- e. Defendant violated §1692c(b) of the FDCPA when it communicated with Plaintiff about another person's debt;
- f. Defendant violated §1692d of the FDCPA when it harassed, oppressed or abused Plaintiff in connection with the collection of a debt;
- g. Defendant violated §1692e of the FDCPA when it used false, deceptive, or misleading representations in connection with the collection of a debt; and
- h. Defendant violated §1692f of the FDCPA when it used unfair and unconscionable means in connection with the collection of a debt.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, RUTH DAVIS, respectfully prays for a judgment as follows:

- a. All actual damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
- b. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for the violation of the FDCPA pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);
- c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation costs incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1693k(a)(3); and
- d. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.

Date: ___11-23-1(

<u>DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL</u>

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, RUTH DAVIS, demands a jury trial in this case.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

By: Craig Ther Kimmel

Attorney ID No. 57100 Tara L. Patterson

Attorney ID No. 88343 Kimmel & Silverman, P.C.

30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002 Phone: (215) 540-8888 Fax: (877) 788-2864

Email: kimmel@creditlaw.com tpatterson@creditlaw.com